

December 11, 2024

Trump's Anti-Trust Team Taking Shape

President-elect Trump's announcement last night that he intends to name current FTC commissioner Andrew Ferguson to chair the agency reinforces our long-held view that, in general, M&A / antitrust under Trump will be more market friendly than what we've seen the over the last four years.

However, we believe both Andrew Ferguson and Gail Slater, Trump's pick to head the DOJ antitrust division, will continue the Biden administration's tough take on **big tech**, which arguably started during the first Trump administration. We don't believe we are going back to the halcyon M&A days of President Bush (either one) or the Reagan era, but directionally, more deals will likely be approved and the DOJ /FTC to accept more remedies to effectuate their closing. We suspect both of their approaches to antitrust enforcement will hew more closely to past precedent than has been the case during the Biden administration.

We also suspect that DOJ / FTC will reverse course from the Biden administration's Hart-Scott-Rodino changes, which would mean M&A parties would have an easier path in antitrust review, in the sense that they would not have to fork over the additional – and ancillary – data regarding proposed acquisitions. Additionally, we suspect them to pull the revised merger guidelines that pushed the envelope on anticompetitive theories of harm and evaluation of potential deals, such as the bias against private equity firms, investor-owned parties, and real estate owners who want to buy a target OR the lens of serial acquisitions.

Ferguson opposed the current FTC's rulemaking that would make it easier to cancel subscriptions, which had wide-ranging implications across many sectors, as well as the rule on non-compete clauses in employment contracts, which a Texas court threw out.

Simply put, we see the FTC under Ferguson being less active in rulemaking, mirroring more traditional Republican positions, again, with big tech being an exception.

Healthcare

On the healthcare front, we doubt the FTC under his leadership pulls the antitrust challenge to **PBMs** (**UNH**, **CVS**, **CI**) regarding rebate practices, even though Ferguson recused himself from signing on to the challenge without any explanation. PBMs, like tech, are in the crosshairs of both Republicans and Democrats.

With regard to the **NVO** / **CTLT** deal, we continue to believe that the transaction will close before the Biden administration concludes on January 20. If we are wrong, however, and they file suit to block the deal, it is unlikely that the Trump administration/FTC would abandon that litigation altogether. While this does not preclude a pre-trial settlement – similar to AMGN / HZNP – we suspect the companies would walk away rather than fight the matter in court given recent comments from NVO management.

Joe Lieber

202-964-7473

joe.lieber@capitolpolicypartners.com

Beth Steindecker

202-935-0946

beth.steindecker@capitolpolicypartners.com

John Leppard

202-935-0238

john.leppard@capitolpolicypartners.com

Incorporated (UNH)	
Price:	\$535.20
52-Week High:	\$630.73
52-Week Low:	\$436.38
CVS Health Corp (CVS)	
Price:	\$53.08
52-Week High:	\$83.25
52-Week Low:	\$52.71
Cigna Corp (CI)	
Price:	\$296.54
52-Week High:	\$370.83
52-Week Low:	\$288.88
Novo Nordisk A/S (NVO)	
Novo Nordisk A/S (NVO) Price:	\$110.26
` · · ·	\$110.26 \$148.15
Price:	
Price: 52-Week High:	\$148.15
Price: 52-Week High: 52-Week Low:	\$148.15
Price: 52-Week High: 52-Week Low: Catalent Inc (CTLT)	\$148.15 \$94.73
Price: 52-Week High: 52-Week Low: Catalent Inc (CTLT) Price:	\$148.15 \$94.73 \$62.40
Price: 52-Week High: 52-Week Low: Catalent Inc (CTLT) Price: 52-Week High:	\$148.15 \$94.73 \$62.40 \$62.50
Price: 52-Week High: 52-Week Low: Catalent Inc (CTLT) Price: 52-Week High: 52-Week Low:	\$148.15 \$94.73 \$62.40 \$62.50
Price: 52-Week High: 52-Week Low: Catalent Inc (CTLT) Price: 52-Week High: 52-Week Low: Amedisys Inc (AMED)	\$148.15 \$94.73 \$62.40 \$62.50 \$36.74



Finally, as a reminder, we do not see the healthcare sector more broadly as particularly vulnerable from the DOJ's new leadership. Slater is not known for having specialized expertise in the space or for having singled it out for concerns about anticompetitive behaviors.

We do *not* think she is likely to aggressively push for the reportedly ongoing monopolization investigation of **UNH**, though we don't necessarily expect it to quickly disappear, and we doubt she will go to the mat for the **UNH-AMED** but rather seek to encourage a revised settlement.

That said, she may not be a fan of PBMs, since we note that when she was an attorney advisor to FTC Commissioner Julie Brill (D) ten years ago, her boss held the dissenting view to the Express Scripts (now **CI**) acquisition of Medco.



DISCLOSURES AND DISCLAIMERS

Analyst Certification

The analyst, Capitol Policy Partners, primarily responsible for the preparation of this research report attests to the following: (1) that the views and opinions rendered in this research report reflect his or her personal views about the subject companies or issuers; and (2) that no part of the research analyst's compensation was, is, or will be directly related to the specific recommendations or views in this research report.

Analyst Certifications and Independence of Research.

Each of the Capitol Policy Partners analysts whose names appear on the front page of this report hereby certify that all the views expressed in this Report accurately reflect our personal views about any and all of the subject securities or issuers and that no part of our compensation was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views of in this Report. Capitol Policy Partners (the "Company") is an independent equity research provider. The Company is not a member of the FINRA or the SIPC and is not a registered broker dealer or investment adviser. Capitol Policy Partners has no other regulated or unregulated business activities which conflict with its provision of independent research.

Limitation Of Research And Information.

This Report has been prepared for distribution to only qualified institutional or professional clients of Capitol Policy Partners. The contents of this Report represent the views, opinions, and analyses of its authors. The information contained herein does not constitute financial, legal, tax or any other advice. All third-party data presented herein were obtained from publicly available sources which are believed to be reliable; however, the Company makes no warranty, express or implied, concerning the accuracy or completeness of such information. In no event shall the Company be responsible or liable for the correctness of, or update to, any such material or for any damage or lost opportunities resulting from use of this data. Nothing contained in this Report or any distribution by the Company should be construed as any offer to sell, or any solicitation of an offer to buy, any security or investment. Any research or other material received should not be construed as individualized investment advice. Investment decisions should be made as part of an overall portfolio strategy and you should consult with a professional financial advisor, legal and tax advisor prior to making any investment decision. Capitol Policy Partners shall not be liable for any direct or indirect, incidental or consequential loss or damage (including loss of profits, revenue or goodwill) arising from any investment decisions based on information or research obtained from Capitol Policy Partners.

Reproduction And Distribution Strictly Prohibited.

No user of this Report may reproduce, modify, copy, distribute, sell, resell, transmit, transfer, license, assign or publish the Report itself or any information contained therein. Notwithstanding the foregoing, clients with access to working models are permitted to alter or modify the information contained therein, provided that it is solely for such client's own use. This Report is not intended to be available or distributed for any purpose that would be deemed unlawful or otherwise prohibited by any local, state, national or international laws or regulations or would otherwise subject the Company to registration or regulation of any kind within such jurisdiction.

Copyrights, Trademarks, Intellectual Property.

Capitol Policy Partners, and any logos or marks included in this Report are proprietary materials. The use of such terms and logos and marks without the express written consent of Capitol Policy Partners is strictly prohibited. The copyright in the pages or in the screens of the Report, and in the information and material therein, is proprietary material owned by Capitol Policy Partners unless otherwise indicated. The unauthorized use of any material on this Report may violate numerous statutes, regulations and laws, including, but not limited to, copyright, trademark, trade secret or patent laws.